

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [SizewellC](#)  
**Subject:** Response to Secretary of State due by tonight  
**Date:** 23 May 2022 18:49:56

---

My Interested Party Number is: 20026466

Dear Secretary of State,

**Response to latest planning from EDF Sizewell C:**

I will make a few points and be as short as I can. It seems to me that many of the claims made by EDF are unrealistic and unsupported, such as:

The almost certain long-term erosion, following the shutting down of Sizewell B, putting the onus on Coastal Process Monitoring and Mitigation Plan to resolve this, plus the exposure of Hard Coastal Defences at the south end of the building. See REP8-280

Then there is the lack of a plan for the potable water supply during the operational life of the twin reactors.

The road plans at the start of the project are quite inadequate. It looks like they will rely on the B1122 for this from Yoxford, via Middleton Moor and Theberton. For the size of this project, even for a short time this seems quite inadequate.

I know the social services and ambulance service are worried about being able to get through to emergencies and people in care. *They already have difficulties with traffic build up at peak times of the year.*

Looking ahead, what about the significant site flooding risk, which the Applicants themselves have admitted is likely after 2140? *We need to think of future generations now.*

Also, the stated removal of spent fuel by 2140 is unrealistic, when *EN-6 give dates of 2190 or beyond.*

Finally EDF's biodiversity 'net gain' claims, to mitigate damage to the SSSI and wildlife, are risible when you consider the biodiversity damage at the construction site, as well as post construction, to the shingle and dune foreshore. Plus their compensation plan for Sizewell Marsh SSSI *does not meet the Environment Act 2021 (REP - 075)*

There is much more to say, and to expand on, but others I know will do this better than I. Sizewell C has been in the planning process for eleven years and still all the problems have not been resolved. Hinkley Point took only four years. Surely this is showing it's the wrong project in the wrong place?

Caroline Weatherby  
[REDACTED]

---

[REDACTED]